

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/766,035	ANDO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jeffrey D. Lane	2828	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Jeffrey D. Lane.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Fred Gibb.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 May 2006**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,3,5,6,7,13,14

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*antecedent basis and possible typos. Change of "said active laser" to "said active layer" and "said semiconductor layer" to "said plural semiconductor layers"*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)